COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF COUNSELOR EDUCATION

GUIDELILNES FOR TENURE AND PROMOTION

Faculty	Member		
Check:	☐ Self Evaluation	□ Supervisor Evaluation	
accompli	ctive of these guide shment and perforn	nance that will achieve tenure, pro-	the faculty evaluation process is to assist faculty members in attaining a level of notion, award recognitions and the expansion of professional opportunities. Points are in the three performance areas of teaching, research/scholarship and service.
research/ should ex employ i	scholarship and ser sceed 13 point in ea nstructors. Therefor	vice) and exceed a minimum grand ch of the performance areas and a	exceed 15 points in each of the three performance areas (teaching, total of 45 points. To qualify for a promotion to associate professor a faculty member grand total of at least 40 points. The Department of Counselor Education does not so will seek a promotion to assistant professor. The minimum points expected for and total of 35 points.
every ser	artment evaluates the mester. Also, an obs	ervation of teaching is conducted	nistering the university's Instructor/Course Evaluation form to students in all classes by the supervisor using the Instructor/Course Evaluation form. Proficiency in student the NCE are considered by the supervisor during the evaluation of teaching.
Interpret		arison of mean scores across the a Minimum acceptable performand Less than a mean of 3: Faculty n	ments by students and the supervisor epartment, college, and university e is a mean of 3 for all items. ember and supervisor discuss strategies for improvement ne item for more than 2 semesters: Incorporate an improvement plan
Procedur Points	res: <u>Activity</u>		<u>Description</u>
		luations by Students—overall averall averall averall average by Supervisor—overall average are also as a second control of the secon	

(6 points) Teaching Evaluations by Students—) Teaching Evaluations by Supervisor) Successful Support of Student Resea	—overall 4.3 to 4.49					
(5 points	(6 points) Successful Support of Student Research (5 points) Exceptional and Highly Effective Student Advising (4 points) Effective Student Advising (4 points) Development or Major Revision of a Course Teaching Total (Maximum of 15 points may be used toward grand total.)						
(4 points							
(4 points							
Faculty Mem	Faculty Member Comments, Teaching:						
Scholarly or regional and service area a in the departs	national meeting or conferences. The and within the state of Alabama. There ment's evaluation system. Professional criteria: Publications/presentations, conformance: Minimum of one (1) purious of one (1)	n displayed below under "Proceaures. blication or presentation at a regional or state conference per year. Professional credentials and					
~~~~~~	continuing education	n in Counseling are considered under research/scholarship.					
Procedures:		Description					
Points Points	<u>Activity</u>	<u>Description</u>					
(8 point (7 point (7 point (6 point (6 point (6 point (6 point (5 point (5 point Researc	s) Publication—State Refereed Journals) Presentation—Regional/State Confis) Other Publication (Book Chapter, Tos) Presentation or Consultation—Locals) 20 hours of Continuing Education—S) Attainment of New Counseling Cress) Maintenance of Counseling Credents) Manuscript Reviewer, Refereed Proh/Scholarship Total (Maximum of 15)	al Conference ll erence Cest Critique, etc.) al dential tial pofessional Journal points may be used toward grand total.)					
Faculty Mer	nber Comments, Research/Scholarshi	p:					

_	•
•	rvice
JU	rvice

Service is demonstrated by leadership on university committees/organizations, local boards/councils and in professional organizations within the local area, the state, the region or the nation. The department highly values service to practicing counselors in the UNA primary service area and within the state. Therefore, service activities on campus, within the local area and in the state are highly rewarded in the department's evaluation system.

Measurable criteria: Participation on university, college and departmental committees.

Participation in local, state, regional and national organizations and volunteer activities.

Interpretation of data: Criterion-based point system displayed below under "Procedures."

Standards of performance: Minimum of one (1) service activity per year.

Activity	<u>Description</u>
Chair—University Committee	
Officer—National Professional Organization	
President—State Professional Organization	
Officer—State Professional Organization	
Committee Chair - State Professional Organization	
Advisor – Student Organization	
Coordinator—Department Area	
Member—University Committee	
Chair—Department Committee	
	.)
ber Comments, Service:	
	Activity Chair—University Committee Officer—National Professional Organization President—State Professional Organization Officer—State Professional Organization Committee Chair — State Professional Organization Advisor — Student Organization Coordinator—Department Area Chair—College Committee Member—University Committee Member — Local Board or Council Chair—Department Committee Member—College Committee Volunteer 20 hours — Local Counseling Agency Total (Maximum of 15 points may be used toward grand total ber Comments, Service:

As part of the evaluation process faculty members should provide their supervisor v

o A copy of their most recent Summary Evaluation Report and Goal Planning Form

Satisfactory

Performance

35

o An updated curriculum vita.

Level of

Performance:

Excellent

Performance

O A complete self evaluation using this Faculty Performance Evaluation form.

40

The following point system is used to evaluate the faculty member's professional performance.

Total Points	45	40	35	30	25 or less	
Directions: Record the point tot to determine the grand total.	als (maxiı	mum of 15) for ea	ch of the thre	e professional p	erformance areas. Add the poin	its from each of the three area
Professional Performance Are	<u>a</u>	Points				
(Maximum of 15 points per area	<u>a)</u>					
Teaching						
Research/Scholarship			<u> </u>			
Service			_			
Grand Total			_			
Supervisor Comments, Professi	onal Perfo	ormance:				
Signatures						
Department Chair:	 		Date:			
Faculty Member:	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Date:			
Faculty Member Comments Re	garding S	upervisor Evaluat	ion:			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

30

Performance

Needs Improvement

25 or less